



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|               |             |                       |                     |

08/542,564 10/13/95 MINOR

22M2/1022  
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON AND HERBERT  
SUITE 3400  
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

|            |              |
|------------|--------------|
| H EXAMINER |              |
|            |              |
| ART UNIT   | PAPER NUMBER |
| GREGORY, B | 9            |

DATE MAILED:  
2202

10/22/97

**Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.**

Commissioner of Patents

## Interview Summary

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Application No.<br><b>08/542,564</b>    | Applicant(s)<br><b>Halsey M. Minor et al.</b> |
| Examiner<br><b>Bernarr Earl Gregory</b> | Group Art Unit<br><b>2202</b>                 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bernarr Earl Gregory

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Clare Hartnett

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Oct 20, 1997

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-21

Identification of prior art discussed:

U.S. Census Bureau Homepage on the Internet.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The rejection of claims 1-21 was discussed generally. The examiner explained his position on the rejection. Applicants' attorney stated that it was not certain that what the age of the website was or that it had always offered demographic data. The examiner pointed to the December 1994 award and stated that any data handled by a government bureau such as the Census Bureau would necessarily be demographic. The examiner pointed out that the claims do not plainly point to any functional use of the demographic data. Applicants' attorney stated that she would propose claim language to remedy that omission in an After Final Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

TEL: (703)306-4153  
FAX: (703)306-4195

*Bernarr Earl Gregory*  
**BERNARR E. GREGORY**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**GROUP 2202**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.